AIS31-Test PRG320 Rohdaten bei +85°C IBB, 05.10.2016

```
**********************************************************************
*                                                                    *
*                        AIS31 evaluation tests                      *
*                                                                    *
**********************************************************************


date, time:    10/05/2016,  13:27:59
tested file:   prg320p85.dat
size of file:  10240000 bytes


----------------------------------------------------------------------
Introduction
----------------------------------------------------------------------

The purpose of the following tests is to evaluate the suitability
of a true (physical) random number generator for cryptographic
applications. In [1] an evaluation methodology for physical random
number generators has been proposed by the German Federal Security
Agency. In the mathematical-technical reference to [1], five tests
are defined for the P2-evaluation of a physical random number
generator (cf. [3] and [4]) which are implemented in the following
tests 1 - 5.


----------------------------------------------------------------------
Results of test 1  (test (P2.i)(vii.a) of AIS 31, cf. [3] and [4])
----------------------------------------------------------------------

In this test, the relative frequency r of bit 1 ocurring in the
first 100000 bits of the bit sequence is computed. Then the bit
sequence passes the test if |r - 0.5| < 0.025.

test scope:  first 100000 bits
number of ones:  49686
relative frequency:  0.496860
test value:  0.00314000  < 0.025

sequence passes test 1


----------------------------------------------------------------------
Results of test 2  (test (P2.i)(vii.b) of AIS 31, cf. [3] and [4])
----------------------------------------------------------------------

In this test, two disjoint sub-sequences TF(0) and TF(1) of bit pairs
are considered where TF(i) consists of the first 100000 bit pairs
of the form (i,x) ocurring in the bit sequence after the test scope
of test 1. Let v(i,j) denote the relative frequency of all bit pairs
of the form (i,j) in TF(i). Then the bit sequence passes the test
if |v(0,1) + v(1,0) - 1| < 0.02.

number of 2-bit words looked up: 200184
```

```
relative frequency v(0,1):  0.496140
relative frequency v(1,0):  0.503330
test value:  0.00053000 < 0.02

sequence passes test 2


------------------------------------------------------------------------
Results of test 3  (test (P2.i)(vii.c) of AIS 31, cf. [3] and [4])
------------------------------------------------------------------------

In this test, 4 disjoint sub-sequences TF(0,0),..., TF(1,1) of
3-tupels are considered where TF(i,j) consists of the first 100000
3-tupels of bits of the form (i,j,x) ocurring in the bit sequence
after the test scope of test 2. For every i,j in {0,1}, let S(i,j)
denote the sub-sequence of all bits k such that (i,j,k) is element
of TF(i,j). Then sample S(0,j) is compared with S(1,j) for every
j = 0,1. In this context, a comparison of two bit sequences g and h
of equal length is performed by a computation of the test value
t = (g_0 - h_0)^2 /(g_0 + h_0) + (g_1 - h_1)^2 /(g_1 + h_1) where
g_i resp. h_i is the number of bit i occurring in sequence g resp. h.
Let t_j be the test value for the comparison of S(0,j) with S(1,j)
Then the bit sequence passes the test if t_j < 15,13 for j = 0,1.

number of 3-bit words looked up: 404565
test value t_1:    0.278480 <= 15.13
test value t_2:    0.237623 <= 15.13

sequence passes test 3


------------------------------------------------------------------------
Results of test 4  (test (P2.i)(vii.d) of AIS 31, cf. [3] and [4])
------------------------------------------------------------------------

In this test, 8 disjoint sub-sequences TF(0,0,0),..., TF(1,1,1) of
4-tupels are considered where TF(i,j,k) consists of the first 100000
4-tupels of bits of the form (i,j,k,x) ocurring in the bit sequence
after the test scope of test 3. For every i,j in {0,1}, let S(i,j,k)
denote the sub-sequence of all bits b such that (i,j,k,b) is  an
element of TF(i,j,k). Then sample S(0,j,k) is compared with S(1,j,k)
for every j,k of {0,1}. In this context, a comparison of two bit
sequences g and h of equal length is performed by a computation of the
test value t = (g_0 - h_0)^2 /(g_0 + h_0) + (g_1 - h_1)^2 /(g_1 + h_1)
where g_i resp. h_i is the number of bit i occurring in sequence g
resp. h. Let t_jk be the test value for the comparison of S(0,j,k)
with S(1,j,k). Then the bit sequence passes the test if t_jk < 15,13
for all j,k of {0,1}.

number of 4-bit words looked up: 813400
test value t_00:    0.003920 <= 15.13
test value t_01:    3.136351 <= 15.13
test value t_10:    0.044180 <= 15.13
test value t_11:    0.297687 <= 15.13

sequence passes test 4


------------------------------------------------------------------------
Results of test 5  (test (P2.i)(vii.e) of AIS 31, cf. [3] and [4])
------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

In this test, the Coron test with the parameters L = 8, Q = 2560, and K = 256000 is performed (cf. [2]). For the first Q+K 8-bit-words after the test scope of test 4, the test value f of the Coron test is computed. The bit sequence passes the test if f > 7.976.

8-bit words looked up: 2560 + 256000 bytes
f-value: 7.99737503
7.99737503 > 7.976

sequence passes test 5

References

---

[1] AIS 31: Functionality Classes and Evaluation Methodology for Physical Random Number Generators. Version 1 (25.09.2001), (mandatory if a German IT security certificate is applied for; English translation).
available at www.bsi.bund.de/zertifiz/zert/interpr/ais31e.pdf

[2] J.- S. Coron: On the Security of Random Sources. In: Public Key Cryptography - PKC 99. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1560, 29-42, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[3] W. Killmann and W. Schindler: A Proposal for: Functionality Classes and Evaluation Methodology for True (Physical) Random Number Generators. Version 3.1 (25.09.2001), mathematical-technical reference of [1] (English Translation);
available at www.bsi.bund.de/zertifiz/zert/interpr/trngk31e.pdf

[4] W. Schindler and W. Killmann: Evaluation Criteria for True (Physical) Random Number Generators Used in Cryptographic Applications. In: Cryptographic Hardware and Empedded Systems - CHES 2002. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 2523, 431-449, Springer-Verlag, 2002.