

Basic statistical test of bit sequences

=====

Date/Time: 05.10.2016,12:49 hour

file: aes_p85.rnd size: 10240000 Bytes

Test of null-hypothesis:

Bit stream ist a stream of truly randomly
drawn number 0,1 with same probability $p = 0.5$

Non-overlapping byte count:

00	39820	39967	39879	39740	39963	39878	39707	40271
08	39779	39526	40253	40310	40125	40131	40156	40207
10	39958	39833	40087	39881	40132	40411	39809	39846
18	40004	40064	40257	40122	39781	39770	39920	39627
20	40138	39798	39886	39963	39998	39794	39517	39928
28	40100	40006	40107	40096	39918	39913	39953	40214
30	40129	39760	39806	39820	39929	39914	40132	40122
38	39992	39778	39706	39875	40001	40264	39931	40063
40	40068	40246	40021	40285	39871	39995	39770	39820
48	39976	39792	40134	39742	39925	40030	39810	39997
50	39860	40053	39643	39943	39750	40148	40344	40099
58	39949	40209	39839	39875	39925	40286	40052	39982
60	40015	40109	40027	40212	40110	39933	39852	40078
68	39511	40261	39935	40039	39831	40118	40125	39907
70	39950	40119	39860	39985	40234	40121	40151	40191
78	40311	39944	40242	40000	40297	40207	40238	40297
80	40130	40323	40393	39829	39890	39993	39892	40248
88	39962	39538	39823	40049	39542	40209	39682	39655
90	40061	40060	39880	40164	39905	39967	40134	39904
98	40201	40051	40321	40108	40096	40015	40112	40202
a0	39922	39975	40152	40074	40275	39901	39945	39974
a8	40047	39681	39728	40223	40002	39763	40038	40068
b0	39963	40296	39919	39862	39953	40275	40049	39971
b8	40156	39874	40199	39628	40375	39723	40033	40292
c0	40152	39893	39894	40172	39738	40044	39770	40296
c8	40088	40001	40123	39884	40055	40003	40014	40068
d0	39614	39989	40131	39816	39994	39811	39771	40060
d8	39975	39945	39767	40291	40076	39856	40088	40060
e0	40151	39664	40258	40319	39932	40004	40090	39818
e8	40176	40326	40095	39932	40332	39840	40057	40253
f0	39608	39826	40179	39662	40062	40069	40185	40027
f8	39890	40544	40100	39787	39788	39728	40194	39576

Evaluation of count of 10240000 Bytes = 81920000 Bits:

Theoretical average of byte-frequencies: 40000
'68' = 39511 (minimum) 'f9' = 40544 (maximum)

Theoretical interval I of byte-frequencies:
I = (39609 to 40391) (for 95 % of 256 frequency)

Test 1:

The theoretical permissible number of the 5% outliers (average 13)
from the interval I is between 6 and 20

The real number of the outliers from interval I:
smaller: 7 greater: 3 summary: 10

Test 2:

Evaluation of byte-frequencies
Chi-square non-overlapping:
Theoretical maximum chi-square = 293.25
Chi-square value = 237.44

Chi-square overlapping:
Theoretical maximum chi-square = 155.40
Chi-square value = 132.14

Test 3:

r = 0.50009418 (relative frequency of bit 1 in the bit stream)

For a truly random sequence, the probability for r to have values in the complement of the open interval (0.49990582 , 0.50009418) is $w = 0.08823992$. If w is very small (e.g., $w < 0.05$), the null-hypothesis is rejected. If more sequences can be tested, the probability w has to be ≥ 0.05 for about 95% of the tested bit sequences.

Test 4:

Frequencies of overlapping 2-tuples:
tuples 00: 20472824 tuples 01: 20479463
tuples 10: 20479463 tuples 11: 20488250

Check size: Chi-square of 2-bit patterns minus chi square of 1-bit patterns
Theoretical maximum chi-square = 5.99
Chi-square value = 2.96

Test 5:

Frequencies of 2-tuples on even places:
tuples 00: 10234965 tuples 01: 10240863
tuples 10: 10241494 tuples 11: 10242678

Theoretical maximum chi-square = 7.81
Chi-square value = 3.47

Test 6:

Frequencies of 2-tuples on odd places:
tuples 00: 10237859 tuples 01: 10238600
tuples 10: 10237969 tuples 11: 10245572

Theoretical maximum chi-square = 7.81
Chi-square value = 4.07

Result of statistical analysis of file aes_p85.rnd:

=====

The tests: 1 2 3 4 5 6 were fulfilled!

The null-hypothesis is accepted!

 THE NIST STATISTICAL TEST SUITE
 #####

 1. FREQUENCY TEST

Computational information:
 (a) The nth partial sum = 1400
 (b) S_n/n = 0.001400

p_value = 0.161513, SUCCESS

 2. BLOCK FREQUENCY TEST

Computational information:
 (a) χ^2 = 124652.000000
 (b) # of substrings = 125000
 (c) block length = 8

p_value = 0.756571, SUCCESS

 3. CUMULATIVE SUMS TEST

Cumulative sums forward test:

Computational information:
 (a) The maximum partial sum =

p_value = 0.269814, SUCCESS

Cumulative sums reverse test:

Computational information:
 (a) The maximum partial sum =

p_value = 0.117784, SUCCESS

 4. RUNS TEST

Computational information:
 (a) π = 0.500700
 (b) V_{n_obs} (Total # of runs) = 500495
 (c) $V_{n_obs} - 2 n \pi (1-\pi)$
 ----- = 0.701423
 $2 \sqrt{2n} \pi (1-\pi)$

p_value = 0.321216, SUCCESS

 5. LONGEST RUNS OF ONES TEST

Computational information:
 (a) N (# of substrings) = 100
 (b) M (Substring Length) = 10000
 (c) χ^2 = 4.112291

Frequency

<=10	11	12	13	14	15	>=16
8	23	30	18	13	4	4

p_value = 0.661483, SUCCESS

6. RANK TEST

Computational information:

(a) Probability $P_{32} = 0.288788$
(b) $P_{31} = 0.577576$
(c) $P_{30} = 0.133636$
(d) Frequency $F_{32} = 272$
(e) $F_{31} = 580$
(f) $F_{30} = 124$
(g) # of matrices = 976
(h) $\text{Chi}^2 = 1.132059$
(i) NOTE: 576 BITS WERE DISCARDED.

p_value = 0.567775, SUCCESS

7. DFT TEST

Computational information:

(a) Percentile = 95.024800
(b) $N_l = 475124.000000$
(c) $N_o = 475000.000000$
(d) $d = 0.804618$

p_value = 0.421040, SUCCESS

8. NONOVERLAPPING TEMPLATES TEST

Computational information:

LAMBDA = 122.061523
M = 125000, N = 8, m = 10, n = 1000000

Template	W_1	W_2	W_3	W_4	W_5	W_6	W_7	W_8
1100100100	126	133	119	130	115	112	115	131

chi2_value = 4.076739
p_value = 0.850134, SUCCESS

9. OVERLAPPING TEMPLATE OF ALL ONES TEST

Computational information:

(a) n (sequence_length) = 1000000
(b) m (block length of 1s) = 10
(c) M (length of substring) = 1032
(d) N (number of substrings) = 968
(e) lambda $[(M-m+1)/2^m]$ = 0.999023
(f) eta = 0.499512

Frequency:

0	1	2	3	4	>=5	Chi^2
598	146	89	62	32	41	3.0192

p_value = 0.697025, SUCCESS

10. UNIVERSAL TEST

Computational information:

(a) L = 7
(b) Q = 1280
(c) K = 141577
(d) sum = 877641.604957
(e) sigma = 0.002768

(f) variance = 3.125000
(g) exp_value = 6.196251
(h) phi = 6.199041
(i) WARNING: 1 bits were discarded.

p_value = 0.313531, SUCCESS

11. APPROXIMATE ENTROPY TEST

Computational information:

(a) m (block length) = 5
(b) n (sequence length) = 1000000
(c) Chi^2 = 26.292179
(d) Phi(m) = -3.465716
(e) Phi(m+1) = -4.158850
(f) ApEn = 0.693134
(g) Log(2) = 0.693147

p_value = 0.750539, SUCCESS

12. RANDOM EXCURSIONS TEST

Computational information:

(a) Number Of Cycles (J) = 0691
(b) Sequence Length (n) = 1000000
(c) Rejection Constraint = 500.000000

x = -4 chi^2 = 4.251865 p_value = 0.513752, SUCCESS
x = -3 chi^2 = 4.701177 p_value = 0.453426, SUCCESS
x = -2 chi^2 = 2.127155 p_value = 0.831286, SUCCESS
x = -1 chi^2 = 2.736614 p_value = 0.740513, SUCCESS
x = 1 chi^2 = 2.065123 p_value = 0.840063, SUCCESS
x = 2 chi^2 = 2.865538 p_value = 0.720707, SUCCESS
x = 3 chi^2 = 3.000588 p_value = 0.699895, SUCCESS
x = 4 chi^2 = 4.164839 p_value = 0.525936, SUCCESS

13. RANDOM EXCURSIONS VARIANT TEST

Computational information:

(a) Number Of Cycles (J) = 691
(b) Sequence Length (n) = 1000000

(x = -9) Total visits = 467; p-value = 0.143905
SUCCESS
(x = -8) Total visits = 463; p-value = 0.113293
SUCCESS
(x = -7) Total visits = 502; p-value = 0.158523
SUCCESS
(x = -6) Total visits = 551; p-value = 0.256176
SUCCESS
(x = -5) Total visits = 568; p-value = 0.270078
SUCCESS
(x = -4) Total visits = 589; p-value = 0.299715
SUCCESS
(x = -3) Total visits = 614; p-value = 0.354290
SUCCESS
(x = -2) Total visits = 630; p-value = 0.343455
SUCCESS
(x = -1) Total visits = 663; p-value = 0.451336
SUCCESS
(x = 1) Total visits = 664; p-value = 0.467661
SUCCESS
(x = 2) Total visits = 613; p-value = 0.225750
SUCCESS
(x = 3) Total visits = 598; p-value = 0.263235
SUCCESS
(x = 4) Total visits = 632; p-value = 0.548600

SUCCESS
(x = 5) Total visits = 684; p-value = 0.949953
SUCCESS
(x = 6) Total visits = 698; p-value = 0.954725
SUCCESS
(x = 7) Total visits = 674; p-value = 0.899075
SUCCESS
(x = 8) Total visits = 679; p-value = 0.933577
SUCCESS
(x = 9) Total visits = 688; p-value = 0.984385
SUCCESS

14. SERIAL TEST

Computational information:

(a) Block length (m) = 5
(b) Sequence length (n) = 1000000
(c) Psi_m = 39.782976
(d) Psi_m-1 = 21.038400
(e) Psi_m-2 = 9.706848
(f) Del_1 = 18.744576
(g) Del_2 = 7.413024

p_value1 = 0.282131, SUCCESS

p_value2 = 0.492795, SUCCESS

15. LEMPEL-ZIV COMPRESSION TEST

Computational information:

(a) W (# of words) = 69595

p_value = 0.786509, SUCCESS

```
#####
Diehard Test-Suite
#####
```

```
BIRTHDAY SPACINGS TEST, M= 512 N=2**24 LAMBDA= 2.0000
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 1 to 24 p-value= .184818
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 2 to 25 p-value= .373843
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 3 to 26 p-value= .990343
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 4 to 27 p-value= .156810
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 5 to 28 p-value= .319564
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 6 to 29 p-value= .312137
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 7 to 30 p-value= .972692
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 8 to 31 p-value= .394578
  aes_p85.rnd using bits 9 to 32 p-value= .881670
The 9 p-values were
.184818 .373843 .990343 .156810 .319564
.312137 .972692 .394578 .881670
A KSTEST for the 9 p-values yields .692794
```

```
-----
OPERM5 test for file aes_p85.rnd
chisquare for 99 degrees of freedom= 94.099; p-value= .379498
OPERM5 test for file aes_p85.rnd
chisquare for 99 degrees of freedom=111.040; p-value= .807993
-----
```

```
Binary rank test for aes_p85.rnd
Rank test for 31x31 binary matrices:
rows from leftmost 31 bits of each 32-bit integer
rank observed expected (o-e)^2/e sum
28 198 211.4 .851598 .852
29 5095 5134.0 .296415 1.148
30 23101 23103.0 .000181 1.148
31 11606 11551.5 .256900 1.405
chisquare= 1.405 for 3 d. of f.; p-value= .413596
```

```
Binary rank test for aes_p85.rnd
Rank test for 32x32 binary matrices:
rows from leftmost 32 bits of each 32-bit integer
rank observed expected (o-e)^2/e sum
29 215 211.4 .060688 .061
30 5219 5134.0 1.406942 1.468
31 23082 23103.0 .019174 1.487
32 11484 11551.5 .394714 1.882
chisquare= 1.882 for 3 d. of f.; p-value= .487723
-----
```

```
b-rank test for bits 1 to 8 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .53742
b-rank test for bits 2 to 9 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .74779
b-rank test for bits 3 to 10 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .39070
b-rank test for bits 4 to 11 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .40859
b-rank test for bits 5 to 12 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .26705
b-rank test for bits 6 to 13 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .19311
b-rank test for bits 7 to 14 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .38039
b-rank test for bits 8 to 15 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .88026
b-rank test for bits 9 to 16 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .55631
b-rank test for bits 10 to 17 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .26774
b-rank test for bits 11 to 18 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .10458
b-rank test for bits 12 to 19 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .56117
b-rank test for bits 13 to 20 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .22391
b-rank test for bits 14 to 21 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .19092
b-rank test for bits 15 to 22 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .33642
b-rank test for bits 16 to 23 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .49980
b-rank test for bits 17 to 24 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .15279
b-rank test for bits 18 to 25 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .03556
b-rank test for bits 19 to 26 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .14043
b-rank test for bits 20 to 27 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .34797
b-rank test for bits 21 to 28 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .15434
b-rank test for bits 22 to 29 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .66075
b-rank test for bits 23 to 30 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .83671
b-rank test for bits 24 to 31 p=1-exp(-SUM/2)= .95981
```

b-rank test for bits 25 to 32 $p=1-\exp(-\text{SUM}/2)=.17717$
 TEST SUMMARY, 25 tests on 100,000 random 6x8 matrices
 These should be 25 uniform [0,1] random variables:

.537418	.747789	.390702	.408587	.267045
.193109	.380392	.880263	.556308	.267742
.104579	.561172	.223910	.190916	.336415
.499795	.152793	.035564	.140427	.347968
.154344	.660747	.836714	.959808	.177175

brank test summary for aes_p85.rnd

The KS test for those 25 supposed UNI's yields
 KS p-value= .893964

No. missing words should average 141909. with sigma=428.

tst no 1:	141825 missing words,	-.20 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .42190
tst no 2:	141269 missing words,	-1.50 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .06731
tst no 3:	142013 missing words,	.24 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .59570
tst no 4:	141967 missing words,	.13 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .55359
tst no 5:	141662 missing words,	-.58 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .28168
tst no 6:	141137 missing words,	-1.80 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .03558
tst no 7:	141266 missing words,	-1.50 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .06641
tst no 8:	142058 missing words,	.35 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .63584
tst no 9:	141998 missing words,	.21 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .58206
tst no 10:	141222 missing words,	-1.61 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .05415
tst no 11:	141779 missing words,	-.30 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .38037
tst no 12:	142546 missing words,	1.49 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .93157
tst no 13:	142432 missing words,	1.22 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .88899
tst no 14:	141403 missing words,	-1.18 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .11840
tst no 15:	142285 missing words,	.88 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .80996
tst no 16:	141865 missing words,	-.10 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .45876
tst no 17:	142303 missing words,	.92 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .82116
tst no 18:	141982 missing words,	.17 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .56741
tst no 19:	142244 missing words,	.78 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .78288
tst no 20:	141527 missing words,	-.89 sigmas from mean,	p-value= .18585

OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 23 to 32	142170	.899	.8156
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 22 to 31	141910	.002	.5009
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 21 to 30	141577	-1.146	.1259
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 20 to 29	142040	.451	.6739
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 19 to 28	142112	.699	.7577
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 18 to 27	141951	.144	.5571
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 17 to 26	141577	-1.146	.1259
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 16 to 25	142442	1.837	.9669
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 15 to 24	141852	-.198	.4216
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 14 to 23	141826	-.287	.3869
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 13 to 22	141924	.051	.5202
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 12 to 21	141883	-.091	.4638
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 11 to 20	141741	-.580	.2808
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 10 to 19	142000	.313	.6227
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 9 to 18	141674	-.811	.2085
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 8 to 17	141874	-.122	.4515
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 7 to 16	141788	-.418	.3378
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 6 to 15	141779	-.449	.3266
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 5 to 14	141864	-.156	.4379
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 4 to 13	142248	1.168	.8786
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 3 to 12	141555	-1.222	.1109
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 2 to 11	141751	-.546	.2925
OPSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 1 to 10	142088	.616	.7311
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 28 to 32	142066	.531	.7023
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 27 to 31	142179	.914	.8197
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 26 to 30	141849	-.205	.4190
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 25 to 29	141973	.216	.5854
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 24 to 28	141900	-.032	.4874
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 23 to 27	141906	-.011	.4955
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 22 to 26	142109	.677	.7508
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 21 to 25	141854	-.188	.4256
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 20 to 24	142058	.504	.6929
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 19 to 23	141872	-.127	.4497
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 18 to 22	141614	-1.001	.1584
OQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 17 to 21	141700	-.710	.2390

QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 16 to 20	142103	.657	.7443
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 15 to 19	141389	-1.764	.0389
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 14 to 18	141766	-.486	.3135
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 13 to 17	141870	-.133	.4470
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 12 to 16	141804	-.357	.3605
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 11 to 15	142086	.599	.7254
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 10 to 14	141989	.270	.6064
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 9 to 13	141965	.189	.5748
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 8 to 12	141784	-.425	.3355
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 7 to 11	141835	-.252	.4005
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 6 to 10	141845	-.218	.4137
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 5 to 9	142167	.873	.8088
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 4 to 8	141370	-1.828	.0338
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 3 to 7	141723	-.632	.2638
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 2 to 6	141970	.206	.5815
QQSO for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 1 to 5	142328	1.419	.9221
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 31 to 32	141884	-.075	.4702
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 30 to 31	141336	-1.691	.0454
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 29 to 30	142810	2.657	.9961
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 28 to 29	142398	1.442	.9253
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 27 to 28	141844	-.193	.4236
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 26 to 27	141279	-1.859	.0315
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 25 to 26	141914	.014	.5055
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 24 to 25	141542	-1.084	.1393
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 23 to 24	141817	-.272	.3927
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 22 to 23	141656	-.747	.2274
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 21 to 22	141717	-.567	.2852
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 20 to 21	142302	1.158	.8766
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 19 to 20	141351	-1.647	.0498
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 18 to 19	141647	-.774	.2195
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 17 to 18	141609	-.886	.1878
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 16 to 17	141853	-.166	.4340
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 15 to 16	141962	.155	.5617
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 14 to 15	141588	-.948	.1716
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 13 to 14	142202	.863	.8060
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 12 to 13	141911	.005	.5020
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 11 to 12	142636	2.144	.9840
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 10 to 11	141444	-1.373	.0849
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 9 to 10	141545	-1.075	.1413
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 8 to 9	141855	-.160	.4363
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 7 to 8	141643	-.786	.2160
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 6 to 7	142060	.444	.6716
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 5 to 6	141595	-.927	.1769
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 4 to 5	141867	-.125	.4503
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 3 to 4	141126	-2.311	.0104
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 2 to 3	142106	.580	.7191
DNA for aes_p85.rnd	using bits 1 to 2	141434	-1.402	.0804

 Test results for aes_p85.rnd

Chi-square with $5^5-5^4=2500$ d.of f. for sample size:2560000

chisquare equiv normal p-value

Results fo COUNT-THE-1's in successive bytes:

byte stream for aes_p85.rnd	2614.81	1.624	.947779
byte stream for aes_p85.rnd	2543.88	.621	.732571

 Chi-square with $5^5-5^4=2500$ d.of f. for sample size: 256000

chisquare equiv normal p value

Results for COUNT-THE-1's in specified bytes:

bits 1 to 8	2519.78	.280	.610145
bits 2 to 9	2565.42	.925	.822575
bits 3 to 10	2357.25	-2.019	.021758
bits 4 to 11	2453.48	-.658	.255292
bits 5 to 12	2481.45	-.262	.396545
bits 6 to 13	2519.35	.274	.607842
bits 7 to 14	2438.80	-.866	.193378
bits 8 to 15	2512.22	.173	.568613
bits 9 to 16	2442.54	-.813	.208213
bits 10 to 17	2604.06	1.472	.929439
bits 11 to 18	2491.03	-.127	.449550

bits 12 to 19	2454.65	-.641	.260647
bits 13 to 20	2505.45	.077	.530711
bits 14 to 21	2386.66	-1.603	.054488
bits 15 to 22	2550.87	.719	.764037
bits 16 to 23	2435.61	-.911	.181242
bits 17 to 24	2598.83	1.398	.918889
bits 18 to 25	2555.48	.785	.783667
bits 19 to 26	2558.18	.823	.794700
bits 20 to 27	2466.75	-.470	.319083
bits 21 to 28	2527.19	.385	.649699
bits 22 to 29	2470.55	-.417	.338521
bits 23 to 30	2471.09	-.409	.341308
bits 24 to 31	2594.29	1.333	.908801
bits 25 to 32	2507.21	.102	.540621

CDPARK: result of ten tests on file aes_p85.rnd
Of 12,000 tries, the average no. of successes
should be 3523 with sigma=21.9

Successes: 3523	z-score: .000	p-value: .500000
Successes: 3522	z-score: -.046	p-value: .481790
Successes: 3490	z-score: -1.507	p-value: .065925
Successes: 3545	z-score: 1.005	p-value: .842447
Successes: 3523	z-score: .000	p-value: .500000
Successes: 3484	z-score: -1.781	p-value: .037471
Successes: 3522	z-score: -.046	p-value: .481790
Successes: 3540	z-score: .776	p-value: .781201
Successes: 3527	z-score: .183	p-value: .572463
Successes: 3504	z-score: -.868	p-value: .192812

square size	avg. no. parked	sample sigma
100.	3518.000	18.740

KSTEST for the above 10: p= .392174

This is the MINIMUM DISTANCE test
for random integers in the file aes_p85.rnd

Sample no.	d^2	avg	equiv uni
5	1.3759	.8709	.749126
10	2.8634	1.2424	.943742
15	.2300	1.2511	.206360
20	1.0727	1.1668	.659771
25	1.3207	1.1495	.734819
30	.5914	1.2764	.448107
35	2.2441	1.3055	.895169
40	.1178	1.2519	.111625
45	.2053	1.1609	.186470
50	.3002	1.0706	.260437
55	4.1528	1.0877	.984604
60	.1136	1.0509	.107890
65	.6448	1.0278	.476938
70	.3175	1.0046	.273167
75	.1823	.9871	.167452
80	.0251	.9983	.024875
85	1.4869	.9957	.775607
90	.2717	.9982	.238950
95	.8655	1.0213	.580967
100	.3532	1.0149	.298833

MINIMUM DISTANCE TEST for aes_p85.rnd
Result of KS test on 20 transformed mindist^2's:
p-value= .050270

The 3DSPHERES test for file aes_p85.rnd

sample no: 1	r^3= 45.841	p-value= .78304
sample no: 2	r^3= 2.621	p-value= .08367
sample no: 3	r^3= 33.526	p-value= .67292
sample no: 4	r^3= 96.547	p-value= .95997
sample no: 5	r^3= 22.471	p-value= .52718
sample no: 6	r^3= 2.401	p-value= .07692
sample no: 7	r^3= 19.159	p-value= .47199
sample no: 8	r^3= 4.665	p-value= .14402

```

sample no: 9      r^3= 5.509      p-value= .16777
sample no: 10     r^3= 38.158     p-value= .71971
sample no: 11     r^3= 14.856     p-value= .39054
sample no: 12     r^3= 7.159      p-value= .21228
sample no: 13     r^3= 69.861     p-value= .90258
sample no: 14     r^3= 47.515     p-value= .79481
sample no: 15     r^3= 9.272      p-value= .26587
sample no: 16     r^3= 19.433     p-value= .47679
sample no: 17     r^3= 17.556     p-value= .44300
sample no: 18     r^3= 22.286     p-value= .52425
sample no: 19     r^3= 51.953     p-value= .82303
sample no: 20     r^3= 8.499      p-value= .24671

```

3DSPHERES test for file aes_p85.rnd p-value= .016734

RESULTS OF SQUEEZE TEST FOR aes_p85.rnd
Table of standardized frequency counts
((obs-exp)/sqrt(exp))^2

for j taking values <=6,7,8,...,47,>=48:

-0.8	0.5	-0.6	-0.4	0.5	1.8
-0.5	1.1	1.4	0.6	-0.6	-1.2
2.1	-0.8	1.3	-1.3	0.2	-0.6
-1.5	-1.2	1.2	-0.8	-0.4	1.9
-1.9	1.0	1.7	0.1	-0.1	1.8
-1.2	2.4	0.6	-0.6	-2.3	-0.5
1.0	-1.0	0.5	-1.3	0.9	0.0
-0.1					

Chi-square with 42 degrees of freedom: 56.726
z-score= 1.607 p-value= .935923

Test no. 1 p-value .117384
Test no. 2 p-value .053421
Test no. 3 p-value .104882
Test no. 4 p-value .098179
Test no. 5 p-value .432743
Test no. 6 p-value .697157
Test no. 7 p-value .089164
Test no. 8 p-value .322160
Test no. 9 p-value .556744
Test no. 10 p-value .343511

Results of the OSUM test for aes_p85.rnd
KSTEST on the above 10 p-values: .979502

The RUNS test for file aes_p85.rnd
Up and down runs in a sample of 10000

Run test for aes_p85.rnd :
runs up; ks test for 10 p's: .181678
runs down; ks test for 10 p's: .226470
Run test for aes_p85.rnd :
runs up; ks test for 10 p's: .438905
runs down; ks test for 10 p's: .718690

Results of craps test for aes_p85.rnd
No. of wins: Observed Expected
98929 98585.86
Chisq= 27.89 for 20 degrees of freedom, p= .88800
Throws Observed Expected Chisq Sum
SUMMARY FOR aes_p85.rnd
p-value for no. of wins: .937574
p-value for throws/game: .888003
Test completed. File aes_p85.rnd

.....